Previous references.

J. File 163 of 02
Sls. 1-2
B

Later references.

1903.

(Gen Jun)

Gen Jun **File No.** 152 of 03

Serial Nos. 1—4

PS

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—4

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Imprest of Rs 100 / to each of the Asst Sessions Judges at Hassan, Chikmagalur and Chitaldrug to pay batta to witnesses attending Sessions trials.

Sl. 1 $\frac{3386}{158}$ d. 4.12.03 from the Registrar Chief Court
Requests Sanction of Govt. to an imprest of Rs. 100 to the Asst ~~s~~ Sessions Judge ~~of~~ ~~Shimoga~~ Chitaldrug.

Sl 2. $\frac{1215}{J.24-967}$ d 17.12.03 to the Registrar of the Chief Court
Enquires whether it would be desirable to Sanction a Similar imprest of Rs 100/- to other Asst Sessions Judges also.

Sl 3 (J.997. Fl. 1111) No. $\frac{3669}{182}$ d 18.12.03 from the Registrar Ch Court
States that an imprest of 100 Rs is necessary in the
G. R. S. 58 Case of other Asst. Sessions Judges also

Sl. 4 Fl. 1798-9 d 19.1.04 to the Comptroller & the Registrar Chief court. Sanctions an imprest of 100 Rs to each of the Asst Sessions Judges at Hassan, Chikmagalur and Chitaldrug.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

‡ **Subject.** Impreset of Rs 50 for payment of batta to witnesses attending Asstt Sessions judge Court.

Letter No 6442/232 dated 19th May 03, from the Registrar, Chief Court, requesting sanction to an impreset of Rs 50 for payment of batta to witnesses being allowed to the Asstt Sessions Judges at Hassan etc.

* **Note.**

The Regr requests sanction for an impreset of Rs 50 being allowed to each of the Asstt. Sessions judges at Hassan, Chikmagalur and Chitaldrug, for payment of batta to witnesses under Notifn No 9926/9949 dated 1st May 1900. Bnt in this Office Docket No 1833/F.24 of 1896-97 dated 16th May 03, the Chief Comr has been consulted as to whether the practice of paying batta to all witnesses attending Sessions Courts under the Notifn of 1st May 1900 quoted above, may not be stopped as the system of circu

circuit sessions has been revived in all the Divisions. The Asstt. Sessns Judges' Courts have no concern with the revival of Circuit Sessions but probably the batta payable to witnesses attending these Courts should be regulated by the late Chief Secretary's Circular No 1685 dated the 17th November 1869 (copy putup).

I don't think the Rules of March 1900 are applicable because Asstt Sessions Judges preside over Courts of Session. (See Pro Code)

The reply of the Chief Court to this office reference of the 16th May 1903 may perhaps be awaited before disposing of the present reference.

Submitted for orders

Submitted
28/5/03 I believe it is better to await the reply of the Chief Court in the matter

28/5/03

The question whether the Rules of 1st March 1900 require modification will probably occupy some time in disposal. Meanwhile the new Asstt Sessions Judges have to find money to pay batta to witnesses attending their Courts (which are "Courts of Session" under the Cr. Pro. Code) — Dy Secy.

Are not disposed to sanction the fresh
interest asked for, the Chief Cus-
may be asked to direct the Sessions
Judge ~~of~~, to whom these Asstt Sessions
Judges are subordinate, to assign
a portion of their own interest money
to the latter.

23.5.03

This may be done as
a temporary measure

27/5/03

Draft
27/5/03

Draft submitted
28/5/03 28/5/03.

Before it is released
ascertain from Comptrs Offce
& H. Dept. whether the above
is in accordance with rules. H
the note to Rule 75 of Genl 29.5.03
Rules & Orders recommends the course.

29/5/03

Accepted subject to
Secretary's
28.5.03.

Subd. The Secretary
The above is in accordance with the Rules.

10/6 13/6/03

Issue accdly

14/6/03

Send this
to Govt
13/6/03

Draft issued —
The Registrar states that the
Dist Judge reports that as per
above

above orders, he remitted Rs 50/
out of the imprest money of
his own Court to the Assistant
Sessions Judge, Chitaldrug,
to meet the payment of
batta to witnesses attending
the Sessions trials held by
him, that the Assistant
Sessions Judge has represented
to him that in a Sessions Case
tried by him, the batta and
mileage paid to 7 witnesses
amounted to Rs 46-4-4 ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ and that a sum
of Rs 191-6-0 more was required
for payment to the remaining
witnesses in addition to Rs 46-4-
required to recoup the impress
amount. The Registrar further
states that the Sessions Judge
further represents that Rs 50/-
is hardly sufficient to meet
the expenditure of the Assistant
Sessions Judge and that
frequently drawing and remit-
-ting sums of money to the
Assistant Sessions Judge out
of imprest allowed to his own
Court causes great inconvenience
and confusion in the accounts
of his Court. He requests

sanction to the grant of (5)
a separate imprest of Rs 100
to the Assistant Sessions
Judge. ~~of C~~

Submitted for orders with a
draft.

14/12/03

As we shall probably
receive similar applns
in regard to the other Sub Courts,
perhaps it may be convenient
to pass an order in regard
all Sub Courts, after (if necessary)
calling a report from the Chief Court to
ascertain it this is desirable.

Please ascertain this first.

To Secy

14-12-03

15/12/03

16/12/03

Draft submd

draft issued —

In reply the Registrar
Chief Court, states that an
imprest of 100 Rupees to each
of the three Assistant Sessions
Judges of Hazaran, Bhimnagar
and Bhitaldrug, would be
necessary and may be sanction
-ed.

Submitted for orders with a draft

9/1/04

Which may, perhaps, be
issued from the H dept.

To Secy

11-1-04

N 33.36/158

Bangalore.
4th December 1903.

J 880.

Gen. Jud. 152 903.
81. no. 1

From

V. A. Rajaraliña Mudaliar Esquire,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire, M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Genl and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

The District Judge of Shimoga reports that, as per Proceedings of Government No 2023/24-97 dated 16th June last, he remitted Rs 50 out of the imprest money of his own Court to the Assistant Sessions Judge, Chitaldrug, to meet the payment of batta to witnesses attending the Sessions trial held by him, that the Assistant Sessions Judge has represented to him that, in a Sessions case tried by him, the batta and

mileage

Mileage paid to 7 witnesses amounted to Rs 46-4-0 and that a sum of Rs 191-6-0 more was required for payment to the remaining witnesses in addition to Rs. 46-4-0 required to recoup the imprest amount. The Session Judge says that Rs 50 is hardly sufficient to "meet the expenditure of the Assistant Sessions Judge and that frequently drawing and remitting sums of money to the Assistant Sessions Judge out of the imprest allowed to his own Court causes great inconvenience and confusion in the accounts of his Court. I am, therefore, directed to request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the grant of a separate imprest of Rs 100 to the Asstt. Sessions Judge.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Government,
Secretariat, General & Revenue Branch.

Gen. Fin 152 of 03
Sl. no. 2

To The Registrar
Chief Court

Date of passing the draft 17/12/03.
Date of despatch

Regd. No. 1225
File No. & date (if any) J. 24796-97

No. of Encls.

The Registrar's letter No 3336/158 dated 4th December 1903, requesting sanction to the grant of a separate imprest of Rs 100 to the Assistant Sessions Judge of Chitaldrug.

With reference to the above, has the honour to request that the Registrar will be good as to state whether it would be desirable to sanction a similar imprest to the other Assistant

Assistant Sessions
judges also, in which case
~~A double fee not to pass~~
~~on a~~ ~~for~~ all a general
order (the remedies for a
order) in regard to
all the Assistant
Sessions judges.

By order

Secretary's
order) [illegible]
for Secretary

N. 3669
182



Bangalore, 18th December 1903.

G. 977

Jen Fri. 152 of 03
Sl no. 3

V. A. Rajarama Iyengar Esqr
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

Sir,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr, M.A; M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 1225
G 240 96-97
dated the 17th instant, enquiring whether it would be desirable to sanction separate imprests of Rs 100 each to the Courts of the Assistant Sessions Judges of Hassan and Chickmagalur also, I am directed to state that an imprest of 100 Rupees to each of the three Assistant Sessions Judges may be sanctioned. The grant of separate imprests was recommended in this office letter No. 6442/232, dated 19th May 1903, though the amount then

asked for was Rs 50/- but I
that Rs 100 would be necessary for
Court.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant;

Registrar

With

Gen. Jud. 152 of 03
Sl. no. 4

Read again:—

Govt Progs: No 2023 / J.24-9
dated 16th June 1903 directin
that pending the disposa
of the question whether
the Rules contained in
Notification No 9926-J.
dated 1st March 1900 requi
any modification, the
Sessions judges & who
the Assistant Sessions
judges of Hassan, Chik
magalur and Chitaldru
are subordinate shou
assign to them as a
temporary measure,
a portion of their ad
imprest money for
payment of batta t
witnesses attending Sess
trials.

(2) Correspondence en
ing with letter No 3669
dated 18th December
1903, from the Registra
Chief Court stating
that it is reported that
much inconveni
ence and confusion
in the accounts of the
Dist judges' courts in Cant

by assigning a portion of the imprest allowed to that Court to the Assistant Sessions Judge of Chitaldrug, and that the amount of Rs 50 so assigned is insufficient to meet the expenditure of the Assistant Sessions Judge's Court, and requesting therefore that an imprest of 100 Rupees to each of three Assistant Sessions Judges, at Chitaldrug, Chikmagalur & Hassan may be sanctioned, as this amount appears to be necessary for each Court.

H. 1798

Ten. H 1798-9

Order thereon:—

Under the circumstances stated, the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja are pleased to sanction the grant of an imprest of Rs 100 to each of the Assistant Sessions Judges at Hassan Chitaldrug, Chikmagalur and Bhadravati in order to meet expenditure on account of payment of batta to witnesses attending Sessions trials

9/12-1914
19

11-1-14

16/1/14

Secretary
the Registrar, Chief Court
the Comptroller, Mysore Govt

16/1/14

Comptd 16/1